FILED 10 JUL 27 10:55USDC-ORM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

CHRISTOPHER GONZALEZ-AGUILERA,

Civ. No. 09-579-PA

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

BRIAN BELLEQUE, SUPT., THOMAS WRIGHT, CAPTAIN, JOHN DOE, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER, G. STEARN, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER,

Defendants.

PANNER, J.

Christopher Gonzalez-Aguilera brings this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim against various prison employees. For the reasons that follow, plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice.

Defendants' motion for summary judgment [#41] is denied as moot.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Gonzalez-Aguilera alleges that on June 20, 2009, prison officer Sahwn Schutt directed a racist slur towards him.

On June 21, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera filed a grievance related to 1 - OPINION AND ORDER

the alleged slur. (Gillies Decl., Attach. 3, 1.)

On June 26, Schutt filed a misconduct report charging Gonzalez-Aguilera with providing False Information to Employees. Gonzalez-Aguilera alleges Schutt filed the misconduct report in retaliation for Gonzalez-Aguilera's grievance. (Am. Comp., 4.)

On July 5, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera filed the first appeal of the grievance. (Gillies Decl., Attach. 3, 1.) On September 16, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera received the final decision of the agency regarding the grievance. <u>Id.</u> at 12.

On August 20, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera filed an Inmate

Discrimination Complaint alleging similar conduct as alleged in
the grievance. (See Humphreys Decl., Attach. 3, 1.) On November
23, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera received the final decision of the
agency regarding the complaint. Id. at 8.

On July 21, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera filed the amended complaint [#7] at issue here.

DISCUSSION

The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. \$ 1997e(a) requires a prisoner exhaust all available administrative remedies prior to the filing of a prison conditions \$ 1983 claim. Exhaustion serves two purposes: (1) it protects administrative authority by granting the agency an opportunity to first correct its own mistakes, and (2) it promotes efficiency. Woodford et al v. NGO, 548 U.S. 81, 89

(2006) (citing McCarthy v. Madigan, 503 U.S. 140, 145 (1992)).

The exhaustion requirement is mandatory. McKinney v. Carey, 311

F.3d 1198, 1199 (9th Cir. 2002) (per curiam).

On July 21, 2009, Gonzalez-Aguilera filed the amended complaint [#7]. (Gillies Decl., Attach. 3, 1.) Gonzalez-Aguilera did not exhaust the grievance or discrimination complaint until after he filed the complaint. (See Humphreys Decl., Attach. 3, 8.; see also Gillies Decl., Attach. 3, 12.) It makes no difference that Gonzalez-Aguilera exhausted the administrative remedies during litigation. McKinney, 311 F.3d at 1201. Rather, courts must dismiss the complaint without prejudice even when a petitioner exhausts the available remedies during litigation.

Id. The Ninth Circuit recognizes this requirement, potentially resulting in additional expenditures on the parties and the court, furthers the twin Congressional objectives of the PLRA.

Id. at 1200-01.

Because Gonzalez-Aguilera filed the complaint prior to exhausting the available administrative remedies, the complaint must be dismissed without prejudice. <u>Id.</u>; <u>Vaden v. Summerhill</u>, 449 F.3d 1047, 1050-51 (9th Cir. 2006).

////

////

////

////

CONCLUSION

The amended complaint [#7] is dismissed without prejudice.

Defendants' motion for summary judgment [#41] is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21 day of July, 2010.

OWEN M. PANNER

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE